



THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Graham John WOODGATE et al.

Title:

DIRECTIONAL DISPLAY APPARATUS

Application No.:

10/563,117

International

07/09/2004

Filing Date:

371(c) Date:

01/03/2006

Examiner:

Eric K. Wong

Art Unit:

2883

Confirmation No.:

8033

STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

Mail Stop AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicant has received an Interview Summary for a telephone interview conducted between applicant's representative and Examiner Wong on March 14, 2008. Applicant has one month from the mailing of the Interview Summary on March 24, 2008 to provide a formal written reply to include the substance of the interview. Thus, this letter is timely filed.

Applicants submit that the Interview Summary (a copy of which is attached) provides a complete and proper recordation of the substance of the interview.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 1, 2008


FOLEY & LARDNER LLP Customer Number: 22428 (202) 672-5582 Telephone:

Facsimile:

(202) 672-5399

Reg. No. 59,396 Howard N. Shipley Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 39,370

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-0741



Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

/0			
Wbb 01 5000 A		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary		10/563,117	WOODGATE ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		ERIC WONG	2883
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>ERIC WONG</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Keith Robinson</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 March 2008</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: 1.	•		
Identification of prior art discussed: Woodgate et al.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was rea	ached. g	g) was not reached. h) 🛛 🖡	I/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed prior art subject matter in relation to prior rejection and current claimed structure. Will clarify in next response. Suggested possible amendments to overcome prior art.</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it	is an	/Frank G Font/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Examiner's signature, if requi	
Attachment to a signed Office action. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office DTOL 412 (Day 24.02)	Intonder	Summan	Papar No. 20000045
PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)	ILIGIAIGM	Summary	, Paper No. 20080315